

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/014,868	12/14/2001	Shih-Ming Huang	1779			
75	590 06/16/2003					
Ying-Cheng Lu P.O. Box No.6-57 Chung-Ho Taipei, 235			EXAMINER			
			KLEBE, GERA			
TAIWAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3618			
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/014,868		Huang	И	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner Gerald Klebe	•	Art Unit 3618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	h the corres	pondence addres	s -	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	no event, however, may a replicate will expire SIX (6) MONTHS he application to become ABAN	MONTH y be timely filed (30) days will be 6 from the mailin	H(S) FROM after SIX (6) MONTHS considered timely. dote of this commun. 5.C. § 133).	from the	
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 14, 2</u>				·	
	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal mater erte Quayle, 1935 C.I	tters, prose D. 11; 453	ecution as to the O.G. 213.	merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>		is/are	e pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideratio	n.
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)				to.	
8) 💢 Claims <i>1-12</i>					nt.
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a) \square accepted or 1	o) 🗆 object	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌	approved	b)□ disapprov	ed by the Exam	iiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents ha 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burnation street the attached detailed Office action for a list of the street of t	eau (PC1 Rule 17.2(a)).		tage 13tCebe 8 June 03	
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti			/(e).	8 June 03	
a) The translation of the foreign language provision					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	r No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	ntent Application	(PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/014868 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction / Election

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Figs 2-4, drawn to a skateboard with articulated wheel suspension coupling plates having resilient shock absorbing elements and connected fore and aft to the board, in class 280, subclass 87.042;
- II. Fig 5, drawn to an embodiment with spring component connecting sections permitting selective mounting with springs having different elastic damping coefficients;
- III. Fig 6, drawn to an embodiment using a single-component swing plate pivoted at the fore-aft center of the board to support the wheels;
- IV. Fig. 7, drawn to an embodiment with coupling plates pivotally crossconnected fore and aft to the board;
- V. Fig 8, drawn to an embodiment using inner projecting swing plates pivotally connected at each end of the board.
- 2. A telephone call to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement could not be placed to the Attorney, Mr. Ying-Chen Lu, since no such telephone number has been provided in the case. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/014868 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no allowable generic claim.

- 4. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Gerald B. Klebe, telephone 703-305-0578, facsimile 703-308-2571, between 8:00 AM and 4:30

Art Unit: 3618

PM ET, Mon-Fri., or to Supervisory Patent Examiner Brian L. Johnson, Art Unit 3618,

telephone 703-308-0885.

gbklebe / Art Unit 3618 / 8 June 2003

The state of the s